# Late-18th Century Contractions, American Usage <br> checked against Founders Online (Filter: "Washington Presidency"), Online Etymology Dictionary, and Shakespeare Search for the Molly Chase series, which opens in 1793 

## Characterization notes:

Molly - minimal contractions; uses them more frequently when emotional or during private conversation with Josiah
Josiah - more contractions when speaking to peers, less when speaking "up"; some contractions in close POV narrative

## Prudence - minimal contractions

Mrs. Robb and Mrs. Warren - no contractions

- Mrs. Robb - proper, sparse, direct
- Mrs. Warren - proper but affected

Non-native speakers (Filippo, Laurent, Genêt, Peter Van der Veen) - no contractions or slang - "studied" English
Characters with consistent contraction usage:

- younger characters (Deb, Charles, the Rascals)
- silly characters (Tabitha),
- working class characters (the Lewises, Custom House employees)
- boorish characters (Daniel Warren)
- upwardly mobile characters (the Findleys, James, Eliza)
- male characters use more contractions than female characters, particularly with each other (jocular speech, "shop talk")

Contractions with't ('tis, 'twas, etc.) - elderly characters only, if at all (when in doubt, give preference to contemporary idiom)

| Used Frequently in Founding Documents (more than twenty times) | Used Sparingly (under twenty times) | Existed but Do Not Occur in Founding Documents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| can't (1706) | ain't (1706) | aren't (1709) |
| don't (1630) | couldn't (1670) | doesn't (1690) |
| I'm | didn't*** (1775) | hadn't (1705) |
| I've (1742) | haven't | hasn't |
| it's* | he'll | I'd |
| 'tis (mid-15th) ${ }^{\star \star}$ | he's | i'nt / i'n't /in't |
| 'twas ${ }^{\star \star}$ | he'd | mustn't (mid-18th) |
| you'll | I'll | needn't |
|  | shan't (1660) | she'd (though "he'd" appears in documents) |
|  | she'll | shouldn't |
|  | she's | they'd |
|  | that's |  |
|  | there's |  |
|  | they'll |  |
|  | they're |  |
|  | 'twill ${ }^{\text {®* }}$ |  |
|  | 'twould** |  |
|  | us'd (used) |  |
|  | we'll |  |
|  | we're |  |
|  | we've ${ }^{\star * * *}$ |  |
|  | won't (1660) |  |
|  | wouldn't |  |
|  | you'd |  |
|  | you're |  |
|  | you've |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    *used interchangeably with 'tis
    **on the wane
    ${ }^{* * *}$ late in century $\quad{ }^{* * * *}$ not the same as our usage

